

INTRODUCTION

Almond production in Australia has traditionally featured a low level of pesticide use. This began to change around the 2012-2014 harvest seasons when carob moth and carpophilus beetle became serious pests, causing high levels of kernel damage. Since then, broadscale insecticide use has increased significantly in the absence of effective alternative management options for these major pests.

There is now a greater need for almond producers to be vigilant for undesirable consequences of pesticide use, namely the suppression of biological control agents (predators and parasites), which may lead to upsurges in what are usually considered minor pests. Pest mites in particular, often increase in response to the impact of broadspectrum pesticides on their natural enemies.

The range of chemicals available for management of pests and diseases in almonds is growing, through direct registration or by emergency or minor-use permits. Globally, many of these chemicals have been assessed to some degree and rated for their impact on selections of agriculturally beneficial species such as parasites and predators of crop pests. This data may be used by producers who want to be more strategic in their selection of chemicals, by choosing options with lower off-target impacts. This is particularly important for producers who aim to develop integrated pest management programs on their farms, with potentially greater reliance on biological control options for management of their key pests.

The following table is a compilation of data from numerous sources that have rated chemicals for their effect on predatory mites, beetles, lacewings and bugs; and parasitic wasps and nematodes. Not all toxicity studies have been conducted on the exact species of beneficial organism found in almond orchards in Australia. However, where they are conducted on related species or functionally similar broad groups (e.g. predatory beetles or predatory bugs), the ratings provide a guide to the impact we can expect when the chemical is applied to agricultural systems including almond orchards.

References for data in Table 1.

- Brugger, K. E., Cole, P. G., Newman, I. C., Parker, N., Scholz, B., Suvagia, P., Walker, G. & Hammond, T. G. (2010). Selectivity of chlorantraniliprole to parasitoid wasps. Pest Management Science, 66(10), 1075-1081. 349-353.
- Fiedler, Ż., & Sosnowska, D. (2014). Side effects of fungicides and insecticides on predatory mites, in laboratory conditions. Journal of plant protection research, 54(4)
- Hoy, M., & Conley, J. (1987). Toxicity of pesticides to western predatory mite. California Agriculture, 41(7), 12-14.

- 4. IOBC-WPRS pesticide side effect database (www.iobcglobal.org)
- Mao, R., Zheng, J., & Zhang, R. (2011). Side effects of copper fungicides on Amblyseius cucumeris by laboratory bioassays. Bulletin of Insectology, 64(1), 69-72.
- Tran, A. K., Alves, T. M., & Koch, R. L. (2016). Potential for sulfoxaflor to improve conservation biological control of aphis glycines (hemiptera: Aphididae) in soybean. Journal of Economic Entomology, 109(5), 2105-2114.
- Venzon, M., Oliveira, R. M., Perez, A. L., Rodríguez-Cruz, F. A., & Martins Filho, S. (2013). Lime sulfur toxicity to broad mite, to its host plants and to natural enemies. Pest management science, 69(6), 738-743.
- 8. www.apvma.gov.au/sites/default/files/publication/13696prs-etoxazole.pdf
- www.apvma.gov.au/sites/default/files/publication/19846prs-azoxystrobin-amistar-wg-fungicide.pdf
- 10. www.ausveg.com.au/app/data/technicalinsights/docs/TL173.pdf
- 11. www.biobestv2.firstsite.be/en/side-effect-manual
- 12. www.goodbugs.org.au/chemicals.html
- www.iobc-wprs.org/restricted_member/iobcwprs_bulletin_2008_35.pdf
- 14. www.potatoesnz.co.nz/?mdocs-file=11269
- 15. www3.epa.gov/pesticides/chem_search/cleared_reviews /csr_PC-128997_1-Jun-07_a.pdf
- 16. www3.epa.gov/pesticides/chem_search/reg_actions/regi stration/fs_PC-114201_01-Apr-00.pdf

Prepared by Agriculture Victoria Research (Invertebrate & Weed Sciences) for Hort Innovation project AL16009 'An Integrated Pest Management program for the Australian almond industry'.

DISCLAIMER This publication may be of assistance to you but the State of Victoria and its employees do not guarantee that the publication is without flaw of any kind or is wholly appropriate for your particular purposes and therefore disclaims all liability for any error, loss or other consequence which may arise from you relying on any information in this publication.

© The State of Victoria Department of Jobs, Precincts and Regions, May 2019.



Table 1. Ratings for off-target impacts of chemicals registered or permitted for use in almonds.

						pathogenic nematodes	
	-			-			
Non-toxic	Harmful	Non-toxic	Slightly harmful	Non-toxic	Non-toxic	No data	1, 2, 4, 13
Moderately harmful	Slightly harmful to harmful	Harmful	Harmful				4
Harmless to harmful	Slightly hamful	Slightly harmful	Harmless		Moderately toxic		4, 14
Low toxicity	Low toxicity	Low toxicity	Low toxicity		Toxic	icity	4, 11, 12
			Harmful			·	4
Low to Moderate toxicity	Low toxicity	Low toxicity	Low to moderate toxicity			citv	4.12
Low to Moderate toxicity	Low toxicity	Low toxicity	Low to moderate toxicity	Toxic			11, 12
Low toxicity	Low toxicity	Low toxicity	Low to moderate toxicity				11, 12
Harmless	Non-toxic	Non-toxic to slightly toxic	Harmless to harmful		Moderately toxic		4,6
Toxic	Toxic	Toxic	Toxic	Toxic	Toxic		11, 12
Toxic	Slightly to moderately toxic	Toxic	Toxic	Toxic	Toxic		11, 12
Non-toxic to toxic	Non-toxic to larvae	Non-toxic	Non-toxic				11
Non-toxic	Non-toxic	Non-toxic	Non-toxic	Non-toxic			11
Toxic to juveniles, slightly- moderately toxic to adults		Toxic to larvae	Low toxicity		Harmless to harmful	No data	4.8.11
Non-toxic	Non-toxic	Non-toxic	Non to low toxicity	Non-toxic	Non-toxic		9, 11
Non-toxic	Non-toxic	Non-toxic	Non to slightly toxic	ly toxic (adults)	Non-toxic		11, 15
Non to slightly toxic	Non to low toxicity	Non to low toxicity	Non to low toxicity		Non-toxic		3, 11
Non to slightly toxic	Non-toxic	Non-toxic	Non to slightly toxic	Non-toxic	Non-toxic	Non-toxic	13
Harmless to slightly harmful	Harmless to slightly harmful		Harmless to moderately harmfu				4
Low to high toxicity #		Moderately harmful		Harmless			4, 5
Non to moderately toxic	Slightly toxic	Slightly toxic	Non to moderately toxic	Non-toxic	Non-toxic		11
	Non-toxic	Non-toxic		Non-toxic			10
Slightly toxic ^	Harmless to harmful	Harmless to slightly harmful	Harmless to harmful		Harmless to harmful		4, 11
Harmless-harmful	Harmless to moderately harmful	Harmless	Harmless to harmful		Harmless to harmful		4, 11
Non-toxic	Non-toxic	Non-toxic	Non-toxic	Non-toxic	Non-toxic		11
Non-toxic to toxic	Slightly toxic	Slightly toxic	Non-toxic	Moderately toxic	Non-toxic	Non-toxic	11
Non-toxic to toxic	Slightly toxic	Non-toxic	Non-toxic	Moderately toxic	Non-toxic		11
Non-toxic	Slightly toxic	Non-toxic	Non-toxic	Moderately toxic	Non-toxic		11
Harmless to moderately harmful		Harmless to harmful	Harmless to harmful				4, 11
*		*					4
	Blank cell = no data						
	Nil or low impact on beneficial ins	sects OR < 25% mortality					
	25- 50% mortality						
Noderate toxicity/Moderately toxic/Moderately harmful	Dama Raial anti-lite al-addinanti-						
	Beneficial activity significantly re	Beneficial activity significantly reduced but can recover in a week or so OR 50-75% mortality	ek or so OR 50-75% mortality				
	Non-toxic Moderately harmful Harmless to harmful Low to Moderate toxicity Low to Moderate toxicity Low to Moderate toxicity Iow to koncity Non-toxic Toxic Non-toxic to toxic Non-toxic Toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic to slightly toxic Non-toxic to slightly toxic Non-toxic to slightly toxic Non-toxic to slightly toxic Slightly toxic ^ Harmless-harmful Non-toxic to toxic Non-toxic to toxic	rmful rmful te toxicity te toxicity te toxic es, slightly- ic to adults ic to adults toxic ghtly harmful icity # tely toxic shtly toxic shtly harmful oderately harmful	Harmful Harmful Slightly harmful to harmful rmful Slightly harmful to harmful Iow toxicity Low toxicity Low toxicity Iow toxicity te toxicity Low toxicity Iow toxicity Low toxicity Iow toxicity Iow toxicity te toxicity Low toxicity Iow toxicity te toxicity Iow toxicity Iow toxicity te toxicity Non-toxic Iow toxicity toxic Slightly toxic Iow sity harmful Harmless to slightly harmful Iow ful Harmless to harmful Iow ful Harmless to moderately harmful Iow slightly toxic Slightly toxic Iow oderately harmful Slightly toxic Iow Nil or low impact on beneficial inse Iow Iow	Immful Harmful Non-toxic Slightly harmful Harmful rmful Slightly harmful Harmful Harmful Harmful retoxicity Low toxicity Low toxicity Low toxicity Harmful retoxicity Low toxicity Low toxicity Low toxicity Low toxicity retoxicity Low toxicity Low toxicity Low toxicity Low toxicity retoxicity Low toxicity Low toxicity Low toxicity Low toxicity retoxicity Low toxicity Low toxicity Low toxicity Low toxicity retoxicity Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Non to low toxicity Non to low toxicity toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Non to low toxicity Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Non to low toxicity Non to low toxicity Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic	Immid Hammid Nan-toaic Sightly hammid Hammid Nan-toaic mindul Sightly hammid Sightly hammid Hammid Hammid Hammid sightly hammid Sightly hammid Sightly hammid Hammid Hammid sightly hammid Sightly hammid Low toxicity Low toxicity Hammid tow toxicity Low toxicity Hammid Hammid Hammid te toxicity Low toxicit Non-toxic Hammid Hammid Hammid te toxicity Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Hammids Hammids Hammids Hammids Hammids Hammids Hamids Hamids Hamids <t< td=""><td>Immuni Fermulal Instruction Kon-toxic Sightly harmful Sightly harmful Sightly harmful Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Instruction Sightly harmful Sightly harmful Sightly harmful Sightly harmful Sightly harmful Sightly harmful Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Instruction Low toxicity Low toxicity Low toxicity Non-toxic Non-toxic Instruction Low toxicity Low toxicity Low toxicity Low toxicity Non-toxic Instruction Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic</td><td>Immuni Name of a lange of</td></t<>	Immuni Fermulal Instruction Kon-toxic Sightly harmful Sightly harmful Sightly harmful Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Instruction Sightly harmful Sightly harmful Sightly harmful Sightly harmful Sightly harmful Sightly harmful Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Instruction Low toxicity Low toxicity Low toxicity Non-toxic Non-toxic Instruction Low toxicity Low toxicity Low toxicity Low toxicity Non-toxic Instruction Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic Non-toxic	Immuni Name of a lange of

* Reduced population growth with increased concentrations # Amblyseius cucumeris ^ Phytoseiulus persimilis

Table 2. Chemicals for which no data was found for their impact on beneficial species.

Active ingredient
FUNGICIDE
COPPER (CUPRIC HYDROXIDE)
COPPER (COPPER AMMONIUM ACETATE)
COPPER (CUPRIC AMMONIUM COMPLEX)
COPPER (COPPER AMMONIUM COMPLEX)
SULFUR (CALCIUM POLYSULFIDE/CALCIUM THIOSULFATE)
PHOSPHOROUS (PHOSPHONIC) ACID AS MONO-DI K PHOSPHONATE