HIVE STOCKING RATES AND PLACEMENT FOR POLLINATION EFFICIENCY

Professor Saul Cunningham Director, Fenner School of Environment & Society



Australian National University







• Field experiments and survey 2011-2017

Horticulture

• Reports available with all details











Department of Environment and Primary Industries



Australian Bee Services





Purpose of research

- Pollination is critical to production
- How to ensure it is done efficiently
- If there is a shortage of hives, need to understand consequences of practice change



Messages from our research

- 1. Almond orchards are hard to pollinate
- 2. There are benefits available from higher pollination
- 3. Trees more than a few hundred metres from hives have lower production
- 4. Reducing hive density (below 6.7hph) reduces nut production
- 5. The ideal hive deployment strategy is a complex cost/benefit question







Bees can fly many kms. But do they want to?

Nine placements, ~120 hives each

Survey included six pairs of transects

Monitor pollen removal from flowers





How many restaurants will you walk past before you stop?



2. There are benefits available from higher pollination

Evidence We can increase nut production by hand pollination



2. There are benefits available from higher pollination

Evidence Spraying pollen over whole trees increased yield by ~10% above that achieved by bees



2. There are benefits available from higher pollination

We increased nuts per spur by 19%



Effect of hive distance on nut set

Standard large hive placements, ~ 120 hives
54 transects in 2012, 62 transects in 2013
581 trees total. ~ 200 flowers per tree.



3. Trees more than a few hundred m from hives have lower production



Distance from hive

Effect of hive density on nut set

Smaller placements, applied in isolated blocks

15 blocks across 9 orchards

34 transects in 2012, 26 transects in 2013

313 trees total. ~ 200 flowers per tree



4. Reducing hive density (below 6.7hph) reduces nut production



Cost side

- Per hive fee (*influenced by* supply)
- Cost of deployment (*depends* on strategy and labour cost)

Benefit side

- Increased nut set (*influenced* by hive arrangement, hive quality, orchard variables)
- Price for nuts (*influenced by market*)



Distance between placements*hives per placement

= hive density

A huge range of possibilities







 We only explored some options

i.e. Lower density (<7hph) Placements closer together (<400m)

• Deployment comes at a cost



We know	Less certain
Varroa controls have reduced the supply of hives	Will we turn the Varroa problem around?
Reducing hive density below 6.7hph reduces nut production	At what hive density is nut production maximised?
If you want to maximise the production benefit when using fewer hives, use smaller placements and reduce the distance between them	What would it cost to change arrangement?
The best hive arrangement would consider costs (hives, labour) as well as benefit (value of increased yield)	Will the market price for nuts reward the benefit of the change?



